



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/313,079	05/17/1999	TOMOAKI KOJIMA	Q54398	3430
75	590 02/25/2003			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, PHUC H	
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2666	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2) Notice 3) Inform U.S. Patent and Tra	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Not Not Other	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO- er: .	152)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	). <u> </u>		
Attachment		mosto phonty under 55 U	.O.O. 33 120 anu/01 121.			
	☐ The translation of the foreign languag acknowledgment is made of a claim for do					
i	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	application).		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copie	s not received.			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> </ol>	priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this National S	tage		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority docu					
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) 🔲 T	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.	•			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •				
11) 🔲 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on _					
	Applicant may not request that any objection					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□		o by the Examiner.			
9) 🔲 🗆	Γhe specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	on Papers	mazor election requiremen	ι.,			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requiremen	nt			
	Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-17 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ndrawn from consideratio	п.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	• •	^			
·	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-17</u> is/are pending in the	application				
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims	illowance except for formander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	al matters, prosecution as to the 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is		
2a)⊠	,	This action is non-final.				
1)[\bigsilon]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
- Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur - Any ru earne Status	isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory price to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by perly received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, on. a reply within the statutory minimun period will apply and will expire SIX (statute, cause the application to bec mailing date of this communication,	n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corn ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133).	munication.		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI		E <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM			
Period fo		ii appears on the cover sin	eet with the correspondence add	ress		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	PHUC H TRAN	2666			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Office Astion Comments	09/313,079	KOJIMA, TOMOAKI			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Art Unit: 2666

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the connection between the input/output device and the buffer device must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, & 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Regarding claim 1, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Page 2

Art Unit: 2666

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 5. Claims 1, 3, 11-12 & 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Soumiya et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5696764).
- With respect to claims 1, 3, 11-12 & 17, Soumiya teaches ATM exchange being capable of maintaining a require QoS which is interpreted as a multi-service-class definition type switch comprising: a buffer device which comprises a buffer section having a plurality of buffers and a cell reading section for reading data from the buffer section (e.g. shared buffer 62, blocks 71b, and 71c in Fig. 8); a data input/output device which comprises a data input section for inputting data from an external source, a data output the data, and a first data transceiver section for performing reception and transmission with respect to the data (e.g. the mux 61 and demux 64 combined, in Fig. 7); a data processing device which comprises a second data transceiver for performing reception and transmission of data in connection with the first data transceiver

Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

Page 4

section (e.g. the QoS control portion 70), a data analysis section for analyzing the data received from the second data transceiver and a data reading/setting section (illustrated in Fig. 8); and wherein the data input/output device is capable of inputting and outputting data regarding a service class of a buffer as a service category and a QoS class (e.g. col. 18, lines 35-41, lines 54-67).

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 5-10, & 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shon (U.S. Patent No. 5499238).
- With respect to claims 5-10 & 13-16, Shon teaches an ATM multiplexing process devices for classifying input cell data to a predetermined QoS class which is interpreted as a service class defining method for defining a service class for each of a plurality of buffers provided with a switch (e.g. Fig. 2), comprising the steps of: inputting data with regard to a service class containing a service category and a QoS class (e.g. Fig. 3 shows cell header with QoS class); detecting a mode, which is one of a data setting mode, a data read mode, a data addition mode and a data deletion mode, on the basis of a request type designated by the data (e.g. block 9 detects the input cell data); defining a buffer, within the plurality of buffers, to be related to the service class of the data (col. 5, lines 66-67); performing an operation on the data in association with the buffer in response to the detected mode (e.g. block 12 in Fig. 3B, stored cell data into the buffer); and outputting content of the data (e.g. the output data at Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2666

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, & 5-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H TRAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-7471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAO SEEMA can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9314.

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664

P.t February 12, 2003

DAME TON